



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/668,068) مور سا	09/25/2000	Mitsuaki Oshima	2000_1309	1797
	7590	05/22/2003			
Wenderoth Lind & Ponack				EXAMINER	
2033 K Street Suite 800		26		LE, AMANDA T	
Washington,	DC 2000	06		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2634	23
				DATE MAILED: 05/22/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u>ن</u>		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/668,068	OSHIMA ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Amanda T Le	2634				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
Period for Reply							
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r earne	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		Annah 2002	g Williams				
1)[\]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 M</u>						
2a)⊠	, -	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
<u> </u>	Claim(s) 19-24 is/are pending in the applicatio	n.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.					
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)🖂	☑ Claim(s) <u>19-24</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 March 2003</u> is/are: a	ı)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by	the Examiner.				
—	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on		oved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
•	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120) (-l) (f)				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	a la ava la ava avastiva d					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 							
Attachment(s)							
2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>1</u>	5) Notice of Informal I	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/668,068

Art Unit: 2634

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 19-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Farias et al (US patent no. 4,891,806, submitted with IDS filed on 09/25/00).

Farias et al discloses a system comprising the following claimed limitations: "first data stream" (SECONDARY CHANNEL DATA), "second data stream" (MAIN CHANNEL DATA), "trellis encoder" (Fig. 2, element 118, Fig. 8, col. 14, lines 47-52), "modulator" (Fig. 2, element 130), "without being trellis encoded" (col. 10, lines 55-58), "m-level modulated signal, n-level modulated signal, n is larger than m" (Fig. 1, elements 4, 5, col. 6, lines 52-58), "first data stream has synchronization data" (Abstract, lines 15-16), "a transmitter" (Fig. 1, element 3), "a demodulator" (Fig. 10, element 188, 190), "the demodulated data stream is reproduced

Application/Control Number: 09/668,068

Art Unit: 2634

according to the synchronization data" (Fig. 10, 187, 206, col. 20, lines 30-35, col. 22, lines 54-57), "trellis decoder" (Fig. 10, element 193).

Although Farias et al fails to teach that the signal is VSB-modulated, it does suggest that any conventional signal modulation schemes can be used (col. 10, lines 9-16, 55-68). Since VSB modulation scheme is known in art at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to implement Farias et al's teachings using known VSB modulation technique when such modulation technique is advantageous for a particular design.

Conclusion.

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Amanda Le** whose telephone number is (703) 305-4769.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Stephen Chin**, can be reached at (703) 305-4714.

Application/Control Number: 09/668,068

Art Unit: 2634

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

AMANDA T. LE PRIMARY EXAMINER